

June 2017

Quality of Life Survey

Comparing Survey Results From

2008 to 2017

Right direction or wrong track

| Generally, do you think that Es | erally, do you think that Escambia County is heading in the right direction, or are things on the wrong track? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|----------|----------------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|------|-------------|------|-----|------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | DIFFERENCE | | | | |
| Things in Escambia County | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 016 TO 2017 | 7 | | | |
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | | | | | | |
| RIGHT DIRECTION | 22% | 35% | 45% | 47% | 52% | 58% | 55% | 61% | 51% | 57% | | 6% | | | | |
| WRONG TRACK | 54% | 48% | 36% | 35% | 39% | 33% | 33% | 28% | 35% | 29% | | -5% | | | | |
| NOT SURE | 24% | 18% | 19% | 19% | 10% | 9% | 13% | 11% | 14% | 14% | | -1% | | | | |
| And generally, do you think tha | t the City | of Pensa | acola is he | ading ii | n the rig | ht direc | tion, or a | re | | | | | | | | |
| things on the wrong track? | | | | | | | | | | | | DIFFERENCE | | | | |
| Things in City of Pensacola | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 016 TO 2017 | 7 | | | |
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | | | | | | |
| RIGHT DIRECTION | 27% | 30% | 47% | 51% | 54% | 61% | 63% | 70% | 45% | 57% | | 12% | | | | |
| WRONG TRACK | 52% | 47% | 36% | 29% | 29% | 30% | 29% | 21% | 43% | 27% | | -16% | | | | |
| NOT SURE | 25% | 24% | 17% | 20% | 17% | 9% | 9% | 8% | 12% | 15% | | 3% | | | | |
| JOB PERFORMANCE: MAYOR | ASHTON I | HAYWAF | RD | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | DIFFERENCE | | | | |
| | 2011 | | | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2 | 016 TO 2017 | 7 | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 11% | | TOTAL | | 11% | 14% | 14% | 17% | 6% | 12% | | | | | | |
| GOOD | 40% | 51% | POSTIVE | • | 42% | 39% | 41% | 50% | 28% | 41% | | 19% | | | | |
| ONLY FAIR | 20% | | TOTAL | | 22% | 28% | 27% | 20% | 29% | 28% | | | | | | |
| POOR | 3% | 23% | NEGATIV | E | 5% | 7% | 8% | 6% | 23% | 11% | | -13% | | | | |
| DK | 27% | | | | 21% | 12% | 10% | 8% | 14% | 9% | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COUNTY COMMISSIONERS | | | | | | | | | CITY COU | NCIL | | | | | | |
| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | |
| EXCELLENT | 1% | | 2% | | 1% | | 4% | | 3% | | 3% | | 2% | | 1% | |
| GOOD | 31% | 32% | 37% | 39% | 40% | 42% | 35% | 39% | 25% | 28% | 34% | 37% | 25% | 27% | 33% | 34% |
| FAIR | 42% | | 43% | | 41% | | 45% | | 38% | | 36% | | 44% | | 40% | |
| POOR | 21% | 63% | 14% | 57% | 14% | 55% | 12% | 57% | 6% | 44% | 7% | 43% | 16% | 60% | 12% | 52 % |
| DK | 5% | | 4% | | 3% | | 4% | | 29% | | 20% | | 13% | | 14% | |
| SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MALCOLM THOMAS | | | | | | | | | SCHOOL I | BOARD | | | | | | |
| | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | |
| EXCELLENT | 19% | | 19% | | 16% | | 18% | | 6% | | 4% | | 6% | | 7% | |
| GOOD | 37% | 56% | 40% | 60% | 44% | 61% | 34% | 52 % | 40% | 46% | 44% | 48% | 39% | 45% | 32% | 39% |
| FAIR | 22% | | 21% | | 20% | | 25% | | 33% | | 33% | | 33% | | 32% | |
| POOR | 12% | 34% | 10% | 32% | 14% | 33% | 16% | 41% | 10% | 42% | 10% | 43% | 14% | 47% | 17% | 49% |
| DK | 10% | | 9% | | 7% | | 7% | | 12% | | 9% | | 8% | | 12% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

How would you rate economic conditions in Escambia County?

| | 2008 | | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | |
|-----------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| EXCELLENT | 1% | TOTAL | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 2% | 0% | |
| GOOD | 13% | 14% | 10% | 19% | 18% | 19% | 19% | 31% | 31% | 27% | 24% | 24% |
| JUST FAIR | 43% | | 50% | 43% | 50% | 47% | 50% | 45% | 46% | 54% | 56% | |
| POOR | 43% | 86% | 35% | 38% | 32% | 32% | 28% | 20% | 19% | 18% | 16% | 72 % |
| DK | 0% | | 5% | 1% | 0.4% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 4% | |

Five years from now, do you think that the economy in Escambia County will be better off, worse off, or about the same as it is today?

| BETTER | 30% | 33% | 33% | 39% | 34% | 23% | 28% | 40% | 32% | 33% |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| WORSE | 22% | 15% | 19% | 15% | 21% | 14% | 12% | 12% | 13% | 11% |
| SAME | 40% | 46% | 40% | 45% | 42% | 58% | 54% | 45% | 54% | 54% |
| NOT SURE | 8% | 7% | 8% | 1% | 3% | 5% | 6% | 4% | 2% | 2% |

How concerned are you about the security and future of your own job, or the jobs of any close family members in Escambia County?

| VERY CONCERNED | 33% | | 39% | 43% | 35% | 41% | 31% | 31% | 26% | 25% | 24% | |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| SW CONCERNED | 26% | 58% | 27% | 23% | 26% | 29% | 38% | 30% | 34% | 28% | 39% | 62 % |
| NOT TOO CONCERNED | 18% | | 19% | 14% | 14% | 16% | 18% | 26% | 29% | 27% | 22% | |
| NOT CONCERNED | 20% | 38% | 15% | 13% | 19% | 10% | 10% | 10% | 9% | 16% | 12% | 34% |
| NOT SURF | 4% | | 1% | 6% | 7% | 4% | 3% | 3% | 2% | 5% | 4% | |

MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE: ESCAMBIA COUNTY

| | 2017 |
|--------------------|------|
| ECONOMY/JOBS | 27% |
| EDUCATION | 13% |
| GROWTH/SPRAWL | 10% |
| CRIME/DRUGS | 10% |
| TRAFFIC/ROADS | 7% |
| POOR LEADERSHIP | 5% |
| POVERTY | 4% |
| TAXES/GOVT SPNDG | 4% |
| ENVIRONMENT | 2% |
| QUALITY OF LIFE | 2% |
| MORAL ISSUES | 2% |
| RACE RELATIONS | 1% |
| DOWNTOWN PENSACOLA | 1% |
| HEALTH CARE | 1% |
| OTHER | 2% |
| NOT SURE | 8% |

MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE: CITY OF PENSACOLA

| | 2017 |
|--------------------|------|
| ECONOMY/JOBS | 28% |
| CRIME/DRUGS | 13% |
| TRAFFIC/ROADS | 11% |
| GROWTH/SPRAWL | 11% |
| EDUCATION | 5% |
| POOR LEADERSHIP | 5% |
| DOWNTOWN PENSACOLA | 4% |
| TAXES/GOVT SPNDG | 3% |
| MORAL ISSUES | 2% |
| ENVIRONMENT | 1% |
| POVERTY | 1% |
| QUALITY OF LIFE | 1% |
| HEALTH CARE | 1% |
| LACK OF CULTURAL | 0% |
| RACE RELATIONS | 0% |
| OTHER | 2% |
| NOT SURE | 13% |

Escambia County Quality of Life Survey 2008 - 2017 Pensacola Young Professionals

Some people feet that our part of Florida is slow to respond to new opportunities and point to economic success stories and job growth experienced in nearby places like Mobile and Destin.

How would you rate Escambia County's success in addressing new challenges, and in attracting economic opportunity and job growth?

| | 2008 | | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | |
|-----------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| EXCELLENT | 1% | | 0% | 0.4% | 0.1% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 1% | 4% | |
| GOOD | 6% | 7% | 9% | 15% | 14% | 16% | 11% | 9% | 19% | 18% | 17% | 22% |
| JUST FAIR | 28% | | 33% | 40% | 42% | 42% | 47% | 49% | 52% | 49% | 46% | |
| POOR | 65% | 93% | 58% | 44% | 43% | 41% | 39% | 38% | 26% | 30% | 32% | 78% |
| DK | 0% | | 0% | 1% | 0.4% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 1% | |

How would you rate the City of Pensacola's success in addressing new challenges, and in attracting economic opportunity and job growth?

| EXCELLENT | 0% | | 0% | 0.4% | 0.1% | 0% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 1% | 5% | |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| GOOD | 9% | 9% | 7% | 14% | 19% | 18% | 26% | 22% | 26% | 21% | 30% | 35% |
| JUST FAIR | 32% | | 42% | 43% | 45% | 46% | 40% | 45% | 48% | 49% | 38% | |
| POOR | 58% | 90% | 50% | 42% | 35% | 35% | 29% | 28% | 21% | 27% | 23% | 60% |
| DK | 1% | | 1% | 1% | 0.8% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 1% | 2% | 6% | |

Rating the Quality of Life Factors

I'm going to read a list qualities and characteristics that contribute to the quality of life in a community. Please tell me how you rate each in Escambia County: excellent, good, only fair, or poor. [ROTATE ORDER]

| Quality of the public | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|------|-------------|
| schools | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | |
| EXCELLENT | 1% | TOTAL | 3% | TOTAL | 4% | TOTAL | 0.4% | TOTAL | 0% | TOTAL | 3% | | 3% | | 4% | |
| GOOD | 27% | 27% | 27% | 30% | 36% | 40% | 36% | 37% | 36% | 36% | 31% | 34% | 37% | 40% | 25% | 30% |
| JUST FAIR | 36% | | 45% | | 35% | | 42% | | 42% | | 51% | | 37% | | 43% | |
| POOR | 31% | 67% | 20% | 65% | 19% | 54% | 20% | 62% | 21% | 63% | 14% | 64% | 19% | 56% | 23% | 66% |
| DK | 6% | | 5% | | 6% | | 1% | | 1% | | 2% | | 4% | | 4% | |
| The availability of cultural opport | ortunities, | such as th | neater, | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| museums, and music | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 7% | | 9% | | 9% | | 11% | | 10% | | 13% | | 15% | | 17% | |
| GOOD | 33% | 40% | 38% | 47% | 30% | 39% | 38% | 49% | 37% | 47% | 47% | 60% | 33% | 48% | 44% | 60% |
| JUST FAIR | 45% | | 40% | | 37% | | 39% | | 40% | | 29% | | 43% | | 28% | |
| POOR | 15% | 60% | 13% | 53% | 22% | 59% | 12% | 51% | 13% | 53% | 11% | 39% | 9% | 51% | 10% | 39% |
| DK | 1% | | 1% | | 3% | | 0.4% | | 0% | | 1% | | 0.40% | | 1% | |
| Offering job opportunities in ye | our field | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 5% | | 1% | | 1% | | 0.6% | | 1% | | 3% | | 2% | | 4% | |
| GOOD | 15% | 20% | 12% | 13% | 17% | 18% | 21% | 21% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 26% | 27% | 29% | 30% | 34% |
| JUST FAIR | 32% | | 32% | | 35% | | 32% | | 32% | | 40% | | 35% | | 30% | |
| POOR | 39% | 71% | 47% | 79% | 37% | 72% | 36% | 68% | 35% | 67% | 29% | 68% | 30% | 65% | 28% | 57 % |
| DK | 10% | | 9% | | 11% | | 11% | | 10% | | 6% | | 6% | | 9% | |
| Having a vibrant nightlife with | | s, restaura | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 7% | | 5% | | 9% | | 13% | | 12% | | 17% | | 14% | | 13% | |
| GOOD | 27% | 33% | 36% | 41% | 33% | 43% | 39% | 52% | 38% | 51% | 44% | 62% | 36% | 50% | 54% | 66% |
| JUST FAIR | 33% | | 36% | | 23% | | 22% | | 23% | | 25% | | 29% | | 17% | |
| POOR | 12% | 45% | 9% | 45% | 17% | 41% | 11% | 33% | 13% | 35% | 6% | 32% | 7% | 36% | 9% | 26% |
| DK | 21% | | 14% | | 17% | | 15% | | 14% | | 7% | | 14% | | 8% | |

Rating the Quality of Life Factors (continued)

| housing | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | |
|---------------------------------|------------|---------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|------|------------|
| EXCELLENT | 7% | | 6% | | 7% | | 5% | | 5% | | 5% | | 2% | | 4% | |
| GOOD | 27% | 34% | 34% | 40% | 27% | 34% | 40% | 45% | 41% | 46% | 32% | 37% | 32% | 34% | 35% | 38% |
| JUST FAIR | 32% | | 35% | | 37% | | 40% | | 38% | | 43% | | 41% | | 33% | |
| POOR | 33% | 65% | 19% | 54% | 24% | 62% | 14% | 54% | 14% | 52% | 17% | 60% | 24% | 65% | 26% | 59% |
| DK | 1% | | 6% | | 5% | | 1% | | 2% | | 3% | | 2% | | 3% | |
| Public transportation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 0% | | 0% | | 6% | | 0.5% | | 1% | | 5% | | 4% | | 3% | |
| GOOD | 19% | 19% | 20% | 20% | 24% | 30% | 31% | 32% | 31% | 32% | 23% | 28% | 29% | 33% | 30% | 32% |
| JUST FAIR | 31% | | 31% | | 34% | | 25% | | 27% | | 36% | | 36% | | 35% | |
| POOR | 42% | 73% | 35% | 66% | 27% | 61% | 27% | 52% | 26% | 53% | 28% | 64% | 25% | 61% | 27% | 62% |
| DK | 8% | | 14% | | 9% | | 16% | | 15% | | 8% | | 6% | | 6% | |
| Public safety and low crime | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 3% | | 5% | | 1% | | 5% | | 5% | | 4% | | 4% | | 4% | |
| GOOD | 25% | 28% | 32% | 37% | 31% | 32% | 29% | 34% | 29% | 33% | 29% | 33% | 32% | 36% | 27% | 31% |
| JUST FAIR | 45% | | 44% | | 39% | | 37% | | 37% | | 43% | | 35% | | 46% | |
| POOR | 27% | 72% | 20% | 64% | 29% | 68% | 29% | 66% | 28% | 65% | 24% | 67% | 29% | 64% | 23% | 69% |
| DK | 0% | | 0% | | 0.4% | | 0.3% | | 2% | | 0% | | | | 1% | |
| Being able to get from place to | place with | ı little traf | fic | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 4% | | 7% | | 6% | | 4% | | 4% | | 4% | | 3% | | 1% | |
| GOOD | 24% | 28% | 20% | 27% | 34% | 40% | 29% | 33% | 29% | 33% | 29% | 33% | 27% | 31% | 28% | 29% |
| JUST FAIR | 33% | | 34% | | 40% | | 40% | | 39% | | 39% | | 34% | | 34% | |
| POOR | 39% | 72% | 39% | 73% | 20% | 60% | 27% | 67% | 28% | 67% | 28% | 66% | 35% | 69% | 36% | 70% |
| DK | 1% | | 0% | | 1% | | 0.6% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0.40% | | 0% | |

Rating the Quality of Life Factors (continued)

| Availability | of quality | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
| healthcare | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | |
| | EXCELLENT | 14% | | 16% | | 16% | | 23% | | 22% | | 14% | | 16% | | 12% | |
| | GOOD | 41% | 55% | 49% | 65% | 39% | 55% | 46% | 69% | 45% | 67% | 53% | 66% | 38% | 53% | 43% | 55% |
| | JUST FAIR | 26% | | 18% | | 26% | | 21% | | 21% | | 22% | | 32% | | 24% | |
| | POOR | 19% | 44% | 13% | 31% | 18% | 44% | 10% | 31% | 11% | 32% | 11% | 33% | 15% | 46% | 17% | 41% |
| | DK | 1% | | 4% | | 1% | | 0.6% | | 1% | | 1% | | 0.60% | | 5% | |
| Notural bas | auty & physical setti | ina | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Dea | EXCELLENT | 24% | | 39% | | 38% | | 34% | | 33% | | 44% | | 32% | | 45% | |
| | GOOD | 45% | 70% | 41% | 80% | 38% | 76% | 49% | 83% | 48% | 81% | 44% | 88% | 47% | 79% | 39% | 84% |
| | JUST FAIR | 20% | 7070 | 15% | 00 70 | 19% | 7070 | 16% | 0070 | 17% | 0170 | 9% | 00 /0 | 17% | 1370 | 16% | 0470 |
| | POOR | 10% | 30% | 5% | 20% | 5% | 24% | 0.6% | 17% | 2% | 19% | 3% | 12% | 4% | 21% | 1% | 16% |
| | DK | 0% | 30 70 | 0% | 2070 | 0.4% | 2470 | 0.1% | 17 70 | 0% | 1370 | 0% | 12 /0 | 470 | 2170 | 0% | 10 /0 |
| | D.K | 070 | | 0,70 | | 0.170 | | 0.170 | | 0 70 | | 070 | | | | 070 | |
| The availab | oility of outdoor parl | ks, playgro | ounds, and | d beache | s | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EXCELLENT | 20% | | 34% | | 30% | | 25% | | 26% | | 40% | | 32% | | 43% | |
| | GOOD | 47% | 67% | 43% | 77% | 38% | 68% | 48% | 74% | 49% | 74% | 46% | 87% | 43% | 75% | 31% | 74% |
| | JUST FAIR | 25% | | 17% | | 20% | | 18% | | 18% | | 8% | | 16% | | 18% | |
| | POOR | 8% | 33% | 6% | 23% | 12% | 32% | 9% | 27% | 8% | 26% | 4% | 13% | 8% | 24% | 8% | 26% |
| | DK | 0 | | 0% | | 1% | | 0.0% | | 0% | | 1% | | 0.90% | | 1% | |
| Welcoming | and inviting to peo | ple of dive | erse backg | jrounds, | ethnicit | y and c | ulture | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EXCELLENT | 8% | | 7% | | 9% | | 13% | | 13% | | 25% | | 16% | | 14% | |
| | GOOD | 35% | 43% | 43% | 50% | 43% | 52% | 43% | 56% | 44% | 57% | 43% | 68% | 39% | 55% | 49% | 63% |
| | JUST FAIR | 29% | | 31% | | 30% | | 28% | | 27% | | 21% | | 33% | | 22% | |
| | POOR | 23% | 51% | 12% | 43% | 11% | 41% | 9% | 37% | 9% | 37% | 10% | 31% | 9% | 41% | 11% | 33% |
| | DK | 6% | | 8% | | 7% | | 7% | | 6% | | 1% | | 4% | | 4% | |
| Effective le | eadership from elect | ted official | s | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EXCELLENT | 3% | | 0% | | 0.1% | | 0.4% | | 1% | | 3% | | 1% | | 4% | |
| | GOOD | 13% | 16% | 22% | 22% | 27% | 27% | 27% | 27% | 29% | 30% | 31% | 34% | 29% | 30% | 29% | 33% |
| | JUST FAIR | 36% | | 38% | | 46% | | 44% | | 43% | | 48% | | 43% | | 38% | |
| | POOR | 48% | 84% | 39% | 77% | 27% | 72% | 28% | 72% | 26% | 69% | 17% | 65% | 23% | 67% | 24% | 62% |
| | DK | 1% | | 1% | | 1% | | 0.8% | | 1% | | 1% | | 3% | | 5% | |

| Part | Rating the Quality of Life Factor | • | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|------|-------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------------|
| EXCELLENT 1% 9% 10% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.0% 0.0% 34% 33% 34% 22% 25% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% 37% 38% 28% 3 | A shared vision and effective p | | conomic | - | nent and | | owth | 0044 | | 0040 | | 0045 | | 0040 | | 0047 | |
| GOOD | EVOELLENT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JUST FAIR 33% 32% 44% 45% 45% 38% 37% 38% 38% 38% 37% 38% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 37% 38% 38% 37% 37% 38% 38% 37% 37% 38% | | | 00/ | | 400/ | | 400/ | | 470/ | | 400/ | | 0.40/ | | 050/ | | 000/ |
| POOR Soliton Soliton | | | 9% | | 12% | | 18% | | 17% | | 18% | | 34% | | 25% | | 28% |
| Rating Escambia as a place to | | | 000/ | | 000/ | | 770/ | | 000/ | | 0.40/ | | 000/ | | 700/ | | =00/ |
| Rating Escambla as a place to tive for the following types of people [ROTATE ORDER] Recent college grads looking for jobs EXCELLENT 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% | | | 86% | | 82% | | 77% | | 82% | | 81% | | 66% | | 72% | | 70% |
| Recent college grads looking for jobs | DK | 6% | | 6% | | 5% | | 0.9% | | 1% | | 1% | | 3% | | 2% | |
| Recent college grads looking for jobs | Rating Escambia as a place to | live | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT 0% 0% 0% 0.3% 0.1% 0.1% 0% 1% 15% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 15% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 15% 16% 13% 13% 14% | | | ace to live | e for the | followin | g types | of peopl | e [ROTA | TE ORDE | R] | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT 0% 0% 0% 0.3% 0.1% 0.1% 0% 1% 15% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 15% 16% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 15% 16% 13% 13% 14% | Recent college grads looking f | or iobs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GOOD 9% 9% 8% 8% 13% 13% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 13% 17% 19% 20% 19% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 16% 16% 13% 17% 19% 20% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 15% 16% 13% 17% 19% 20% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 15% 1 | | • | | 0% | | 0.3% | | 0.1% | | 0% | | 1% | | 3% | | 0% | |
| POOR S9% 90% 64% 92% 54% 86% 57% 86% 55% 86% 33% 83% 36% 36% 82% 37% 80% | | | 9% | | 8% | | 13% | | 14% | | 14% | | 16% | | 17% | | 20% |
| POOR S9% 90% 64% 92% 54% 86% 57% 86% 55% 86% 33% 83% 36% 36% 82% 37% 80% | JUST FAIR | 31% | | 28% | | 33% | | 29% | | 30% | | 50% | | 46% | | 44% | |
| Young Single People EXCELLENT 1% 0% 1% 2% 0% GOOD 30% 30% 14% 1% 0.1% 0% 2% 36% 36% 36% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 6% 2% 6% 2% 2% 2% 6% 2% 6% 2% 2% 6% 2% 6% 2% 2% 2% 6% 2% 2% 2% 6% <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>90%</td><td></td><td>92%</td><td></td><td>86%</td><td></td><td>86%</td><td></td><td>86%</td><td></td><td>83%</td><td></td><td>82%</td><td></td><td>80%</td></td<> | | | 90% | | 92% | | 86% | | 86% | | 86% | | 83% | | 82% | | 80% |
| EXCELLENT 1% 1% 14% 14% 22% 23% 23% 23% 22% 23% 23% 24% 24% 28% 29% 34% 34% 34% 36% | DK | 1% | | | | | | | | 0% | | | | 2% | | 0% | |
| EXCELLENT 1% 1% 14% 14% 22% 23% 23% 23% 22% 23% 23% 24% 24% 28% 29% 34% 34% 34% 36% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GOOD 30% 30% 14% 14% 22% 23% 23% 22% 23% 23% 24% 24% 28% 29% 34% 34% 36% JUST FAIR 35% 43% 43% 35% 35% 35% 35% 47% 47% 40% 36% POOR 29% 64% 42% 85% 42% 77% 41% 76% 42% 77% 24% 71% 25% 64% 27% 64% DK 6% 6% 1% 1% 1% 0.8% 1% 1% 76% 42% 77% 41% 76% 42% 77% 42% 77% 24% 71% 25% 64% 27% 64% Families with children EXCELLENT 9% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 42% 49% 44% 45% 43% 44% 51% 60% 45% 53% 46% 52% GOOD 43% 52% 36% 42% 42% 44% 45% 43% 44% 44% 51% 60% 45% 53% 46% 52% JUST FAIR 34% 48% 22% 59% 23% 51% 13% 55% 15% 56% 9% 40% 13% 45% 14% 46% POOR 14% 48% 22% 59% 23% 51% 13% 55% 15% 56% 9% 40% 13% 45% 14% 46% Retirees EXCELLENT 28% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 28% 26% 77% 51% 79% JUST FAIR 19% 11% 15% 18% 20% 56% 79% 45% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% JUST FAIR 19% 11% 15% 18% 20% 56% 21% 33% 26% 9% 28% 5% 21% | Young Single People | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second Heave Seco | EXCELLENT | 1% | | | | | | | | 0% | | 4% | | | | | |
| POOR | | | 30% | | 14% | | 23% | | 23% | | 23% | | 28% | | 34% | | 36 % |
| Families with children | JUST FAIR | 35% | | | | | | | | | | 47% | | 40% | | | |
| Families with children EXCELLENT 9% 6% 6% 6% 42% 42% 49% 44% 45% 41% 41% 51% 56% 50% 40% 40% 45% 53% 46% 52% GOOD 43% 52% 36% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 41% 51% 50% 31% 50% 32% 33% 46% 52% 41% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50 | POOR | | 64% | | 85% | | 77% | 41% | 76% | | 77% | 24% | 71% | | 64% | | 64% |
| EXCELLENT 9% 6% 6% 0.9% 1% 9% 7% 6% 6% 6% 1% 6% 6% 6% 6 | DK | 6% | | 1% | | 1% | | 0.8% | | 1% | | 1% | | 2% | | 0% | |
| GOOD 43% 52% 36% 42% 42% 49% 44% 45% 43% 44% 44% 51% 60% 45% 53% 46% 52% JUST FAIR 34% 37% 28% 42% 42% 41% 31% 32% 32% 33% POOR 14% 48% 22% 59% 23% 51% 13% 55% 15% 56% 9% 40% 13% 45% 14% 46% DK 0% 0% 0% 0.3% 0.3% 0.4% 0% 0% 0% 0.4% 0% Retirees EXCELLENT 28% 23% 27% 24% 23% 23% 28% 26% 26% 27% GOOD 44% 72% 58% 81% 47% 74% 58% 82% 56% 79% 45% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% JUST FAIR 19% 11% 15% 18% 20% 20% 21% 3% 26% 9% 28% 5% 5% 21% | Families with children | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GOOD 43% 52% 36% 42% 42% 44% 45% 43% 44% 44% 51% 60% 45% 53% 46% 52% | EXCELLENT | 9% | | 6% | | 6% | | 0.9% | | 1% | | 9% | | 7% | | 6% | |
| Second Heave Seco | GOOD | | 52% | | 42% | | 49% | | 45% | 43% | 44% | 51% | 60% | 45% | 53% | 46% | 52% |
| POOR DK 14% 48% 48% 22% 59% 23% 51% 13% 55% 15% 56% 56% 9% 40% 13% 45% 14% 46% DK 0% 0% 0% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 55% 15% 56% 56% 56% 9% 40% 13% 40% 13% 45% 14% 46% 22% 22% Retirees EXCELLENT 28% 72% 58% 81% 47% 72% 58% 81% 47% 74% 58% 82% 56% 79% 45% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% 16% 79% 10% 16% 16% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10 | JUST FAIR | 34% | | | | 28% | | 42% | | 41% | | 31% | | 32% | | 33% | |
| Retirees DK 0% 0% 0.3% 0.4% 0% 0% 2% 2% 2% 23% 24% 23% 23% 24% 23% 28% 26% 26% 27% 79% 28% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% 79% 45% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% 79% 45% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% 79% 45% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% 79% 24% 20% 20% 24% 24% 20% 24% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% JUST FAIR 19% 11% 15% 18% 20% 21% 3% 26% 9% 28% 5% 21% POOR 8% 27% 8% 19% 11% 26% 0.8% 18% 2% 21% 3% 26% 9% 28% 5% 21% | POOR | 14% | 48% | 22% | 59% | 23% | 51% | 13% | 55% | 15% | 56% | 9% | 40% | 13% | 45% | 14% | 46% |
| Retirees EXCELLENT 28% 23% 27% 24% 23% 28% 26% 27% 79% GOOD 44% 72% 58% 81% 47% 74% 58% 82% 56% 79% 45% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% JUST FAIR 19% 11% 15% 18% 20% 24% 24% 19% 16% POOR 8% 27% 8% 19% 11% 26% 0.8% 18% 2% 21% 3% 26% 9% 28% 5% 21% | DK | 0% | | | | | | 0.4% | | 0% | | <1% | | 3% | | | |
| GOOD 44% 72% 58% 81% 47% 74% 58% 82% 56% 79% 45% 73% 45% 71% 51% 79% JUST FAIR 19% 11% 15% 18% 20% 24% 19% 16% POOR 8% 27% 8% 19% 11% 26% 0.8% 18% 2% 21% 3% 26% 9% 28% 5% 21% | Retirees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| JUST FAIR 19% 11% 15% 18% 20% 24% 19% 16% POOR 8% 27% 8% 19% 11% 26% 0.8% 18% 2% 21% 3% 26% 9% 28% 5% 21% | EXCELLENT | 28% | | 23% | | 27% | | 24% | | 23% | | 28% | | 26% | | 27% | |
| JUST FAIR 19% 11% 15% 18% 20% 24% 19% 16% POOR 8% 27% 8% 19% 11% 26% 0.8% 18% 2% 21% 3% 26% 9% 28% 5% 21% | GOOD | 44% | 72% | 58% | 81% | 47% | 74% | 58% | 82% | 56% | 79% | 45% | 73% | 45% | 71% | 51% | 79% |
| | JUST FAIR | 19% | | | | | | 18% | | 20% | | 24% | | 19% | | | |
| | POOR | 8% | 27% | 8% | 19% | 11% | 26% | 0.8% | 18% | 2% | 21% | 3% | 26% | 9% | 28% | 5% | 21% |
| | DK | 0% | | 0% | | 0.1% | | | | 0% | | 1% | | 1% | | 1% | |

Rating Escambia as a place to live (continued)

| Racial & ethnic minorities | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | |
|----------------------------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| EXCELLENT | 5% | | 0% | | 6% | | 5% | | 6% | | 7% | | 5% | | 2% | |
| GOOD | 34% | 39% | 46% | 46% | 36% | 42% | 46% | 50% | 47% | 53% | 41% | 47% | 33% | 38% | 40% | 42% |
| JUST FAIR | 39% | | 33% | | 35% | | 30% | | 29% | | 38% | | 44% | | 38% | |
| POOR | 17% | 56% | 16% | 49% | 16% | 52% | 10% | 40% | 10% | 39% | 13% | 51% | 16% | 60% | 18% | 56% |
| DK | 6% | | 5% | | 6% | | 10% | | 9% | | 2% | | 2% | | 3% | |
| Immigrants from other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| countries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 7% | | 0% | | 6% | | 0.6% | | 1% | | 5% | | 3% | | 3% | |
| GOOD | 25% | 32% | 34% | 34% | 33% | 38% | 40% | 41% | 41% | 42% | 34% | 39% | 26% | 29% | 33% | 36% |
| JUST FAIR | 34% | | 34% | | 31% | | 34% | | 34% | | 42% | | 46% | | 35% | |
| POOR | 20% | 54% | 20% | 54% | 21% | 51% | 11% | 45% | 11% | 44% | 15% | 57% | 20% | 66% | 23% | 58% |
| DK | 14% | | 12% | | 11% | | 14% | | 14% | | 4% | | 5% | | 6% | |
| Entreprenurs & new businesses | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 5% | | 6% | | 4% | | 0.3% | | 0% | | 6% | | 8% | | 6% | |
| GOOD | 25% | 30% | 27% | 33% | 29% | 33% | 20% | 21% | 22% | 22% | 38% | 44% | 28% | 36% | 39% | 45% |
| JUST FAIR | 39% | | 32% | | 31% | | 48% | | 47% | | 36% | | 47% | | 43% | |
| POOR | 26% | 65% | 30% | 62% | 32% | 63% | 30% | 78% | 29% | 75% | 18% | 54% | 13% | 60% | 11% | 54% |
| DK | 6% | | 5% | | 4% | | 1% | | 2% | | 2% | | 4% | | 1% | |
| People living below poverty line | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXCELLENT | 1% | | 1% | | 0.4% | | 1% | | 1% | | 4% | | 2% | | 3% | |
| GOOD | 9% | 10% | 15% | 16% | 17% | 17% | 19% | 20% | 18% | 19% | 11% | 15% | 12% | 14% | 12% | 16% |
| JUST FAIR | 34% | | 42% | | 30% | | 34% | | 35% | | 43% | | 41% | | 33% | |
| POOR | 47% | 81% | 40% | 82% | 45% | 76% | 35% | 68% | 35% | 70% | 39% | 82% | 43% | 84% | 47% | 79% |
| DK | 10% | | 3% | | 7% | | 12% | | 11% | | 3% | | 2% | | 5% | |

Rating Over All Quality of Life

How would you rate the over all quality of life in Escambia County?

| | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|------|-----|------|--|-----------------|-----|------|-------------|
| EXCELLENT | 7% | | 8% | | 9% | | 5% | | 4% | | 14% | | 13% | | 6% | |
| GOOD | 44% | 51% | 49% | 57% | 48% | 57% | 43% | 48% | 42% | 47% | 57% | 71% | 53% | 66% | 56% | 62 % |
| JUST FAIR | 39% | | 35% | | 35% | | 47% | | 45% | | 27% | | 27% | | 35% | |
| POOR | 10% | 49% | 8% | 43% | 9% | 44% | 5% | 52% | 7% | 52% | 2% | 29% | 7% | 34% | 3% | 38% |
| DK | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 1% | | 1% | | 0% | | 0% | |
| Over the next 5 years do you th | nink that th | ne quality | of life in | Fscamb | nia | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County will improve, deteriorat | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPROVE | 32% | | 30% | | 34% | | 39% | | 40% | | 36% | | 29% | | 31% | |
| DETERIORATE | 15% | | 12% | | 15% | | 6% | | 5% | | 8% | | 13% | | > 7% | |
| STAY THE SAME | 53% | | 57% | | 51% | | 56% | | 55% | | 55% | | 59 % | | 62% | |
| NOT SURE | 1% | | 1% | | 1% | | 0% | | 1% | | 2% | The second secon | 0% | | 1% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Reasons given by the 7% of re | psondents | s who state | ed qualit | y of life | would d | leteriora | te over n | ext 5 year | rs.) | | | | | | | |
| Poor job opportunities for y | yourself | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STRONG AGREE | 30% | | 25% | | 60% | | 38% | | 34% | | 58% | | 28% | | 45% | |
| SW AGREE | 42% | 72% | 37% | 62% | 20% | 80% | 13% | 51% | 11% | 45% | 32% | 90% | 16% | 44% | 9% | 54% |
| SW DISAGREE | 13% | | 19% | | 16% | | 11% | | 13% | | 2% | | 17% | | 16% | |
| STRONG DISAGRE | 6% | 20% | 8% | 27% | 1% | 16% | 38% | 49% | 42% | 55% | 7% | 8% | 34% | 51% | 29% | 45% |
| NOT SURE | 9% | | 12% | | 3% | | 0% | | 0% | | 2% | | 6% | | 2% | |
| Poor economic conditions in the | ne area | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STRONG AGREE | 37% | | 29% | | 52% | | 64% | | 61% | | 68% | | 33% | | 30% | |
| SW AGREE | 43% | 80% | 47% | 76% | 35% | 86% | 9% | 73% | 24% | 84% | 31% | 98% | 33% | 65% | 23% | 54% |
| SW DISAGREE | 15% | | 18% | | 11% | | 27% | | 16% | | 0% | | 11% | | 41% | |
| STRONG DISAGRE | 4% | 20% | 1% | 19% | 2% | 13% | 0.0% | 27% | 0% | 16% | 0% | 0% | 24% | 35% | 5% | 47% |
| NOT SURE | 1% | | 6% | | 1% | | 0% | | 0% | | 2% | | | | | |

(Reasons given for deteriorating quality of life of all voters who said 'deteriorated')

| Poor leadership, vision and act | tion from I | ocal gove | rnment i | n addre | ssing | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| major issues | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STRONG AGREE | 46% | | 38% | | 54% | | 47% | | 37% | | 74% | | 43% | | 21% | |
| SW AGREE | 30% | 76% | 32% | 70% | 29% | 84% | 38% | 85% | 42% | 79% | 13% | 87% | 13% | 56% | 27% | 48% |
| SW DISAGREE | 18% | | 15% | | 15% | | 16% | | 16% | | 2% | | 39% | | 32% | |
| STRONG DISAGRE | 5% | 23% | 6% | 21% | 2% | 16% | 0.0% | 16% | 5% | 21% | 11% | 13% | 6% | 45% | 20% | 52 % |
| NOT SURE | 1% | | 9% | | | | 0.0% | | 0% | | 0% | | | | | |
| Lack of entertainment and cult | ural oppor | tunities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STRONG AGREE | 14% | | 9% | | 21% | | 24% | | 18% | | 31% | | 27% | | 9% | |
| SW AGREE | 31% | 45% | 24% | 33% | 31% | 52% | 27% | 51% | 26% | 45% | 42% | 73% | 12% | 39% | 30% | 39 % |
| SW DISAGREE | 38% | | 44% | | 40% | | 20% | | 24% | | 19% | | 27% | | 23% | |
| STRONG DISAGRE | 13% | 51% | 16% | 60% | 6% | 46% | 29% | 49% | 32% | 55% | 7% | 26% | 35% | 61% | 38% | 61% |
| NOT SURE | 4% | | 8% | | 3% | | 0.0% | | 0% | | 2% | | | | | |
| You don't have a sense that thi | ngs will in | nprove in | the fores | seeable | future | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STRONG AGREE | 23% | | 16% | | 46% | | 40% | | 40% | | 76% | | 18% | | 54% | |
| SW AGREE | 34% | 56% | 37% | 53% | 21% | 66% | 40% | 80% | 45% | 84% | 13% | 89% | 28% | 46% | 9% | 63% |
| SW DISAGREE | 24% | | 29% | | 27% | | 9% | | 13% | | 5% | | 31% | | 9% | |
| STRONG DISAGRE | 13% | 37% | 8% | 37% | 4% | 31% | 0.0% | 9% | 3% | 16% | 5% | 10% | 24% | 55% | 29% | 38% |
| NOT SURE | 7% | | 10% | | 3% | | 11% | | 0% | | 2% | | 1% | | | |
| | | N | OT SURE | Ξ | 1% | | 0.0% | | 0% | | | | | | | |

Vision & Leadership (all respondents)

I am familiar with and confident in the vision, plans, and leadership for economic development for City of Pensacola?

| for economic development for City of Pensacola? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------|---------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-----|------|-----|------|------------|
| | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | |
| STRONG AGREE | 11% | TOTAL | 9% | TOTAL | 13% | TOTAL | 11% | TOTAL | 15% | TOTAL | 11% | | 8% | | 9% | |
| SW AGREE | 31% | 42% | 26% | 35% | 33% | 46% | 51% | 62% | 51% | 66% | 53% | 65% | 47% | 55% | 47% | 55% |
| SW DISAGREE | 28% | | 35% | | 25% | | 21% | | 18% | | 23% | | 25% | | 27% | |
| STRONG DISAGRE | 20% | 48% | 21% | 56% | 13% | 38% | 7% | 27% | 8% | 27% | 9% | 33% | 18% | 43% | 13% | 40% |
| NOT SURE | 11% | | 9% | | 16% | | 10% | | 7% | | 3% | | 2% | | 5% | |
| I am familiar with and confiden | | • • | s, and le | adership |) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| for economic development for | Escambia | County? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STRONG AGREE | 11% | | 8% | | 14% | | 9% | | 10% | | 8% | | 8% | | 6% | |
| SW AGREE | 31% | 42% | 26% | 34% | 33% | 47% | 45% | 54% | 45% | 55% | 43% | 51% | 48% | 56% | 42% | 48% |
| SW DISAGREE | 30% | | 35% | | 27% | | 27% | | 26% | | 36% | | 24% | | 32% | |
| STRONG DISAGRE | 20% | 50% | 22% | 57% | 16% | 44% | 12% | 38% | 12% | 38% | 11% | 47% | 18% | 41% | 15% | 47% |
| NOT SURE | 8% | | 9% | | 9% | | 8% | | 8% | | 2% | | 3% | | 5% | |
| IMPORTANCE OF PENSACOLA | A WATERF | RONT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VERY IMPORTANT | | | | *FIRST | ASKED | IN 2012 | | | 63% | | 64% | | 49% | | 53% | |
| SW IMPORTANT | | | | | | | | | 22% | 85% | 26% | 90% | 33% | 82% | 28% | 81% |
| NOT TOO IMPORTANT | | | | | | | | | 6% | | 5% | | 9% | | 14% | |
| NOT IMPORTANT | | | | | | | | | 6% | 12% | 4% | 9% | 8% | 17% | 5% | 19% |
| DK | | | | | | | | | 4% | | 1% | | 0.9% | | 0 | |

How would you rate Escambia County public schools' performance in assisting with our area's economic development and job readiness?

| | 2016 | 2017 |
|-----------|------|------|
| EXCELLENT | 7% | 5% |
| | | |
| GOOD | 32% | 26% |
| FAIR | 30% | 39% |
| POOR | 26% | 25% |
| DK | 5% | 6% |

Do you feel the opportunity for children to receive a quality education in the Escambia County public school system is getting better or worse?

| | 2016 | 2017 |
|----------|------|------|
| BETTER | 44% | 39% |
| WORSE | 36% | 41% |
| SAME | 15% | 10% |
| NOT SURE | 6% | 10% |

LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN ESCAMBIA COUNTY

| <5 YEARS | 9% |
|-------------|-----|
| 5-9 YEARS | 11% |
| 10-19 YEARS | 26% |
| 20+ YEARS | 56% |

In thinking about things that impact the quality of life: What do you think has been the greatest improvement in the City of Pensacola over the last ten years? [DO NOT READ LIST]

GREATEST IMPROVEMENT IN PENSACOLA

| DOWNTOWN/WATERFRONT | 38% |
|-----------------------|-----|
| INFRASTRUCTURE | 12% |
| BETTER JOBS/ECONOMY | 10% |
| BETTER HEALTHCARE | 5% |
| LESS CRIME | 4% |
| ENTERTAINMENT | 4% |
| FAMILY FRIENDLY | 3% |
| GOVT LEADERSHIP | 2% |
| BETTER SCHOOLS | 2% |
| OIL SPILL/ENVIRONMENT | 1% |
| OTHER | 2% |
| NONE | 9% |
| NOT SURE | 7% |
| | |

Again, in thinking about things that impact the quality of life: What do you think has been the greatest disappointment in the City of Pensacola over the last ten years? [DO NOT READ LIST]

BIGGEST DISAPPOINTMENT PENSACOLA

| ECONOMY/JOBS | 14% |
|-------------------------|-----|
| MORE CRIME & DRUGS | 10% |
| FAILED GOVT/LEADERSHIP | 10% |
| ROADS & TRAFFIC | 10% |
| GROWTH & SPRAWL | 9% |
| PUBLIC SCHOOLS | 9% |
| POOR QUALITY OF LIFE | 6% |
| ENTERTAINMENT/NIGHTLIFE | 2% |
| OTHER | 3% |
| NONE | 5% |
| NOT SURE | 22% |
| | |

In thinking about things that impact the quality of life: What do you think has been the greatest improvement in Escambia County over the last ten years? [DO NOT READ LIST]

GREATEST IMPROVEMENT IN ESCAMBIA

| DOWNTOWN/WATERFRONT | 14% |
|-----------------------|-----|
| BETTER JOBS/ECONOMY | 13% |
| INFRASTRUCTURE | 13% |
| GOVT LEADERSHIP | 6% |
| BETTER SCHOOLS | 5% |
| BETTER HEALTHCARE | 5% |
| LESS CRIME | 4% |
| FAMILY FRIENDLY | 3% |
| OIL SPILL/ENVIRONMENT | 3% |
| OTHER | 2% |
| ENTERTAINMENT | 2% |
| NOT SURE | 18% |
| | |

Again, in thinking about things that impact the quality of life: What do you think has been the greatest disappointment in Escambia County over the last ten years? [DO NOT READ LIST]

| ROADS & TRAFFIC | 20% |
|------------------------|-----|
| ECONOMY/JOBS | 16% |
| FAILED GOVT/LEADERSHIP | 14% |
| MORE CRIME & DRUGS | 12% |
| PUBLIC SCHOOLS | 8% |
| GROWTH & SPRAWL | 5% |
| POOR QUALITY OF LIFE | 3% |
| OTHER | 3% |
| NONE | 3% |
| NOT SURE | 18% |
| | |

RESIDENCE

| CITY OF PENSACOL | 23% |
|------------------|-----|
| FSCAMBIA SUBURBS | 77% |

EDUCATION

| HIGH SCHOOL | 27% |
|--------------|-----|
| SOME COLLEGE | 34% |
| COLLEGE GRAD | 24% |
| GRAD DEGREE | 16% |
| REFUSED | 1% |

PARTY REGISTRATION

| DEMOCRAT | 34% |
|-------------|-----|
| REPUBLICAN | 44% |
| INDEPENDENT | 22% |

AGE

| 18-29 | 13% |
|---------|-----|
| 30-39 | 16% |
| 40-49 | 16% |
| 50-64 | 24% |
| 65+ | 31% |
| REFUSED | 1% |

Escambia County Quality of Life Survey 2008 - 2017 Pensacola Young Professionals

| | WHITE | 74% |
|----------------------|---|---|
| | BLACK | 21% |
| | HISPANIC | 2% |
| | OTHER | 3% |
| | REFUSED | 1% |
| | | |
| INCOME | | |
| | <\$25k | 17% |
| | \$25k-\$39,999 | 18% |
| | \$40k-\$74,999 | 27% |
| | \$75k+ | 24% |
| | REFUSED | 16% |
| | | |
| EMPLOYMENT | | |
| | FULL-TIME | 43% |
| | PART-TIME | 8% |
| | RETIRED | 32% |
| | HOMEMAKER | 10% |
| | STUDENT | 2% |
| | UNEMPLOYED | 5% |
| | OTHER | 0% |
| | | |
| VOLUNTEERED RELIGIOU | S GROUP? | |
| | YES | 45% |
| | NO | 55% |
| | 110 | JJ 70 |
| | | 33 70 |
| VOLUNTEERED OTHER GR | ROUP? | 0070 |
| VOLUNTEERED OTHER GR | ROUP? YES | 45% |
| VOLUNTEERED OTHER GR | ROUP? | 0070 |
| | ROUP? YES | 45% |
| VOLUNTEERED OTHER GR | ROUP? YES NO | 45% 55% |
| | ROUP? YES NO MALE | 45% 55% 49% |
| | ROUP? YES NO | 45% 55% |
| SEX | ROUP? YES NO MALE | 45% 55% 49% |
| | ROUP? YES NO MALE FEMALE | 45% 55% 49% 51% |
| SEX | ROUP? YES NO MALE FEMALE DISTRICT 1 | 45% 55% 49% 51% |
| SEX | ROUP? YES NO MALE FEMALE DISTRICT 1 DISTRICT 2 | 45% 55% 49% 51% 21% 20% |
| SEX | YES NO MALE FEMALE DISTRICT 1 DISTRICT 2 DISTRICT 3 | 45% 55% 49% 51% 21% 20% 20% |
| SEX | ROUP? YES NO MALE FEMALE DISTRICT 1 DISTRICT 2 | 45% 55% 49% 51% 21% 20% |